

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,055	12/11/2001	Andrew J. Fish	10559-627001/P11061	4855	
7590 12/17/2004			EXAMINER		
JONI D. STUTMAN-HORN			HENRY, MATTHEW ALLAN		
C/O BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			2116		

2116 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_			
		Application N) .	Applicant(s)	76			
Office Action Summary		10/016,055		FISH ET AL.	4			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
_		Matthew A. He	nry	2116				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	opears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	I V IS SET TO E	VDIDE 2 MONTH/	S) EDOM				
THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail	EMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a respective of the computation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, ho ply within the statutory r d will apply and will expi tte, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	December 0201.	\					
2a)□								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requi	ement.					
Applica	tion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
•	☑ The specimentarial especied to by the Examiner. ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if	the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)] The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	•)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•		ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
*	application from the International Bures	•						
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified	copies not receive	2 0.				
Attack	nt/c)							
Attachme	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ΛΓ	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4/ [Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/2001.	8) 5) [6) [Notice of Informal P Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the "interactions of various components of an EFI specification-compliant system" in Figure 2B as described in Paragraph 36, Lines 2-3 in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 2116

and

Specification

2. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to http://developer-intel-com/ial/WfM/design/BIBLIOG.HTM and http://developer-intel-com/technology/efi/main_specification.htm are improper because they are embedded hyperlinks. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlinks. See MPEP § 608.01.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Method of Switching from a Legacy-Free Boot System to a Legacy Boot System.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by *Legacy Technology Transitions*.

In regards to Claim 15, Legacy Technology Transitions discloses:

A data processing system (Page 11, Column 1, Paragraph 4, Lines 1-2) comprising: means for processing instructions and data (Page 11, Column 1, Paragraph 4, Lines 1-2);

Art Unit: 2116

means for separating a legacy-free boot path from a legacy boot path (Figures 12 and 13; Page 11, Column 2, Paragraph 4, Lines 1-4) such that the legacy boot path comprises an encapsulated boot process (Page 11, Column 2, Paragraph 5, Lines 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Legacy Technology Transitions* in view of Patel.

In regards to Claim 16, Legacy Technology Transitions discloses:

The ISA bus and ISA-based input/output ports are legacy firmware (Page 1, Column 1, Paragraph 1, Lines 4-8).

Patel teaches:

the legacy boot path comprises legacy firmware from a legacy option read only memory (Column 6, Lines 41-46).

Patel provides evidence describing the specifics of the ISA bus and related input/output devices that are disclosed as legacy technology by *Legacy Technology Transitions*. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to consider the ISA devices bearing option ROMs described by Patel as a type of the legacy ISA input/output devices described by *Legacy Technology Transitions*.

In regards to Claim 17, Patel further teaches:

the legacy option read only memory comprises a part of a plug-in device (Column 6, Lines 41-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,055

Art Unit: 2116

Page 5

In regards to Claim 18, Legacy Technology Transitions discloses:

the legacy option read only memory comprises a part of a legacy platform (Page 1,

Column 2, Paragraph 2, Lines 4-5; ISA is considered a legacy platform).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-14 are allowed.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In regards to Claims 1, 7 and 11,

The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a motivation for defining a boot process that

entails the steps of loading legacy-free drivers, terminating the loading process based upon a

legacy boot option and loading legacy free firmware. Therefore it would not have been obvious

at the time of invention to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify current boot processes to

include the steps described in the claims above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3860 2100